Experiences of using a single post-contrast CT scan of the urinary tract after triphasic contrast injection

To the Editor: In my article entitled ‘Experiences of using a single post-contrast CT scan of the urinary tract after triphasic contrast injection’ that was published in the SAJR Vol. 15, No. 4 (December 2011), I emphasised that we had not gained experience in this technique with renal pathologies such as pyelonephritis and small renal tumours. At a CT congress in South Africa last year, an international speaker and expert in CT also raised his concern about missing small renal tumours when questioned about this technique. I have had further experience since, and would like to share the following 2 cases, suggesting that this technique will demonstrate these 2 pathologies adequately.

Case 1
A 70-year-old man was diagnosed with a small right renal mass, using the classic post-contrast scan technique (arterial, nephrographic and delay excretory series). I performed a 6-month follow-up using the single post-contrast scan after a triphasic contrast injection.

Fig. 1 (a - d) shows the lesion identified at original scan and provisionally diagnosed as an oncocytoma. Fig. 2 (a - b) shows the lesion (arrow) at 6-month follow-up, unchanged. The lesion was a subtle lesion, difficult to identify on both examinations, but it is my opinion that it is seen as easily using the single post-triphasic contrast injection technique (Fig. 2) as on the classical 3 post-contrast series technique (Fig. 1).

Case 2
A 76-year-old woman presented with symptoms of urinary tract pathology. Pyelonephritis was diagnosed after a CT scan using the single-series post-triphasic contrast injection technique. We
include a 3-hour post-contrast study on our patients if we suspect pyelonephritis.

These two cases show that this modified post-contrast technique is sensitive to small focal lesions and diffuse lesions within the kidneys. I acknowledge that a few cases do not constitute definitive scientific evidence that subtle lesions will not be missed; however, these types of case add to the radiologist’s confidence in using this technique, bearing in mind that this technique replaces 3 post-contrast scans with a single scan and also effectively halves the overall radiation dose to the patient.

I thank my partners Dr Jaco Parsons for his initial diagnosis of the small renal mass (Case 1) and Dr Etienne Steenkamp for his case of pyelonephritis (Case 2).
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