High resolution CT of the lungs - the Groote Schuur experience

Abstract
One hundred and sixty-eight high resolution computer tomography (HRCT) examinations of lungs performed during 52 consecutive weekly CT sessions between 12/9/95 and 29/10/96 are analysed. They form the bulk of the HRCT examinations of the lungs done at our institution during this period.

At our institution high resolution of the lungs is done to answer the following questions:
1. What was the extent of lung involvement of a given condition?
2. Was there a recognisable cause to account for a haemoptysis; and less often to account for pulmonary hypertension?
3. Was any lung parenchymal process recognisable?
4. Was the HRCT picture supportive of a suggested clinical condition?
5. What condition did the HRCT examination suggest to account for the radiological or lung function change or for the patients' symptoms?

The author will attempt to describe his ability to answer the above questions. He must stress that pathological proof for the accepted diagnosis of each case is not always present. In those patients in whom there is no pathological diagnosis, the assessment of his ability to answer the question posed is based on the clinical diagnosis. This clinical assessment has been obtained from a close working relationship with the referring practitioners; from discussions at regular clinico-radiological and monthly clinico-radiological-pathological meetings at which many of the HRCT examinations were reviewed; as well as from a review of the clinical and histological records of 59 patients.
1. What was the extent of lung involvement?

The easiest requirement to fulfill was "Record the distribution and extent" of any specific condition. This involved 40 patients suffering from:

a) *Mycobacterium* infection (n=14)
b) cryptogenic fibrosing alveolitis (CFA) also known as usual interstitial pneumonitis (UIP) (n=14)
c) emphysema (n=5)
d) bronchiectasis (n=5), and
e) alveolar proteinosis (n=2)

The patients with emphysema, often of a panlobular distribution, were usually those who were candidates for either lung reduction surgery or bullectomies.

The HRCT description of the distribution and extent of bronchiectatic (Figure 1) involvement and of

![Figure 1: Early bronchiectatic change. On a section at the level of the aortic arch one can see a thick walled dilated bronchus peripherally. Bronchi are not normally seen in the peripheral portion of the lung.](image)

*Mycobacterium* infection (Figure 2) was usually accepted as valid. This was often without surgical or other confirmation.

![Figure 2: Mycobacterium tuberculosis organisms were cultured from the patient's sputum. On the HRCT scan diffuse bilateral bronchiectasis can be seen. Irregular opacification is present with distortion of lung anatomy and emphysema. Most of the changes were in the upper lung zones.](image)

The displacement, distortion and obscuration of landmarks such as the fissures by the disease process made it difficult to localise the radiological change accurately to a specific lobe or segment of a lobe on some of the scans. This difficulty occurred mainly in mycobacterial infection and in bronchiectasis. The author is not aware of surgical findings significantly different from the HRCT interpretation in this group.

We found a composite diagram produced by The Health Sciences Division, Eastman Kodak Company, Rochester, New York; and based on an article by NRL Bechai and DJ Wise in an in-house technical publication, most helpful for localising lesions to specific lung segments on cross-sectional examinations.

Our institution participates in an international assessment of the response of patients with cryptogenic fibrosing alveolitis (CFA) to various treatment regimens; and HRCT examination plays a part in this evaluation.

When reassessing the hard copies of the HRCT examination without knowing what he had reported at the time of the investigation, the author did at times give a different opinion from the one that he had previously expressed as to whether the extent of the disease process had changed since an earlier examination. This, in his opinion, emphasises the difficulty which can be experienced in making these assessments.

The author suggests that the image on the recording film be as large as is practical. This makes for easier subsequent interpretation from the hard film. The pictures should be recorded at the same Hounsfield centre and window settings on each occasion, and at the same camera settings, if comparisons between different examinations are to be made. Specific sections, at the same anatomical level, should be compared.

2. Was there a recognisable cause to account for an haemoptysis or for pulmonary hypertension?

The examinations of the 13 patients who presented with what was usually a significant haemoptysis were relatively easy to interpret. The clinical question was nearly always: Was there evidence of a condition such as bronchiectasis - or of a bronchial lesion - to account for the haemoptysis; and if present what was its distribution? In four patients bronchiectasis was delineated. No other significant lesion was recognised.

Most of the examinations were done with 2 mm thick scans at 10 mm intervals. Webb et al suggest that the subcarinal area to the level of the pulmonary veins should be examined with 4 or 5 mm thick contiguous sections when searching for a cause of haemoptysis.
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Four patients also presented with pulmonary hypertension with no clinically recognisable cause. In two of the four patients obliterative or constrictive bronchiolitis (Figure 3) was thought to be present, and in one patient emphysema was demonstrated.

In this group one known error was made. In a patient who had received a single lung transplantation and who was feeling generally unwell, a micronodular pattern was recognised on the HRCT examination (Figure 4), but its significance was not adequately emphasised. The patient deteriorated two weeks later and evidence of diffuse alveolar damage and of focal acute bronchiolitis was seen in the lung specimen. No causative organism was found.

3. Was any lung parenchymal process recognisable?

In 28 patients the main question posed was: “Was there evidence of diffuse pulmonary disease?” The clinical conditions which prompted this question included pleural disease which obscured the underlying lungs, evidence of extrapulmonary sarcoidosis or tuberculosis, a diagnosis of a collagen vascular disease, and the possibility of micronodular metastases or of bronchiectasis. A diagnosis of emphysema, CFA, early bronchiectasis, tuberculosis and of possible previous embolic disease was suggested on occasions; but the vast majority of these examinations were thought to show no diffuse pulmonary process.

On 30 occasions the radiologist was asked to confirm the presence of a specific condition suspected clinically or on a plain chest radiograph. These conditions included CFA, extrinsic allergic alveolitis (EAA) (Figure 5), lymphagenitic carcinomatosis, bronchiectasis and emphysema. On the vast majority of the examinations that have been included under this heading, the HRCT picture was thought to be suggestive of, or in keeping with, the proposed diagnosis. On occasions an extra feature was found. These included a mass lesion in a patient with CFA (Figure 6), a mycetoma in a tuberculous cavity and, more often, a combination of emphysema and CFA or of bronchiectasis and emphysema.

4. Was the HRCT picture supportive of a suggested clinical condition?

On 30 occasions the radiologist was asked to confirm the presence of CFA, extrinsic allergic alveolitis, HRCT demonstrates a diffuse poorly defined bilateral nodular opacification. The nodules appear to be centrilobular peripherally. They become confluent in areas, and leave some areas relatively unaffected. Expiratory views were not performed.
divisions. Often the clinical differential diagnosis would include CFA and sarcoidosis. The possibility of lymphangitic carcinomatosis, alveolar proteinosis (Figure 7), bronchiectasis, cryptogenic fibrosing alveolitis, histiocytosis X (Langerhans cell histiocytosis), extrinsic allergic alveolitis, drug reaction, emphysema and others were all raised for consideration in the differential diagnoses.

Neither the differential diagnosis of specific changes seen on HRCT examinations nor the classical changes seen in specific disease processes will be discussed as both of these aspects are extremely well covered in many original articles and in the two magnificent monographs by Webb, Muller and Naidich1 and by Stern and Swensen2. The author does however wish to highlight some of his difficulties in interpreting HRCT lung examinations and to refer to some of the points he has learned. These include:

• The non-uniform nature of lymphangitic carcinomatosis involvement of the lungs. This point is emphasised by others; but the author found that he needed to become accustomed to it in practice. The HRCT picture of this condition also varied considerably.
• Similarly, sarcoidosis of the lungs often caused a non-uniform alteration; and the nodular change appeared to involve some of the bronchovascular bundles and to spare others. Once again the HRCT of the condition showed considerable variation (Figure 8).
• The author also had to learn the very variable picture caused by cryptogenic fibrosing alveolitis, and also the lack of symmetry on occasions; and the fact that the bases did not always show the most extensive change (Figures 9 and 10). If the peripheral honeycomb change is distributed anteriorly in the upper zones, laterally in the mid zones and posteriorly in the lower zones, this supports a diagnosis of CFA.
• Early bronchiectasis continues to be a difficult diagnosis at times. Unfortunately the use of a very slow scanner, 4 seconds, with a minimum slice thickness of 2 mm, compounds the difficulty. The author is impressed by the fact that there does not always appear to be loss of volume of the surrounding lung in regions of bronchiectasis.

• The narrowing down of the relevant differential diagnosis of a micronodular pattern continues to cause the author difficulties (Figures 11, 12 and 13).
• Bronchiectasis and involvement of tuberculous disease of the lung may cause displacement of the fissures. This sometimes makes the placement of the condition into specific lung segments difficult.
• The author found it difficult at times to suggest whether the changes caused by tuberculosis were due to any active process or not (Figure 2). He suspects that he did not pay enough attention to the "tree in bud"
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In his experience with those radiologists learning to do HRCT examinations the author found that motion artefacts causing the "star" artefact, and dependent hyperattenuation, caused the most easily avoidable errors in interpretation. He found that examinations done to confirm or negate the presence of asbestosis did not always include examinations in the prone position.

In a patient with a classical clinical presentation and supportive investigatory findings of a specific diffuse lung condition, the support of a typical HRCT examination picture may obviate the need for an histological examination. If however, any of the findings, including that of the HRCT examination, is not characteristic of the suspected disease, a lung biopsy may still be necessary (Figure 14).

In conclusion the author has enjoyed learning about, and doing HRCT examinations of the lung. He thinks that the relatively old machine that he uses and his film format detract from the clarity of the pictures especially as reproduced on hard film.

He has sorely missed the presence of a colleague with whom he could discuss perplexing studies. Working closely with the clinicians has been a particular source of joy, stimulation and instruction. Unfortunately in the subsection of the patients with the more difficult clinical problems the high resolution CT examination appears to have been the most difficult to interpret, and to have the highest proportion of errors of interpretation.
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appearance stressed by Webb et al. Stern and Swensen state that cavitation in reactivation tuberculosis seen on HRCT does not in itself indicate disease activity.